

Coburn, Leah

OBJECTION 1

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 06 June 2013 14:16  
**To:** traffic orders  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Ref PRP/PD/TMO1314-003

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am writing in connection with the proposed changes and introduction of one-way traffic on Shand street.

We are a Food delivery business located in the corner of Shand street and Holyrood street.

As a local business we wish to oppose this proposal on the following grounds;

- a) currently operating a Food delivery and catering service to the local area and City
- b) proposed restrictions will increase delivery times, increase traffic congestion in and around the streets which will lead to increase in pollution
- c) no consultation process with Local residents and businesses
- d) proposed changes will affect our business directly

Thank you for your time in advance.

Best Regards

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

This message may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient please inform the sender that you have received the message in error before deleting it. Please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this e-mail or take any action in reliance on its contents: to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

Thank you for your co-operation.

Coburn, Leah

OBJECTION 2

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 June 2013 08:01  
**To:** traffic orders  
**Subject:** PRP/PD/TMO1314-003. Shand street

Dear Sirs

I write to object to the proposal to make Shand Street one way. I am a resident in the [REDACTED] building on Shand Street. A one way system will make it very inconvenient to get home, and with the various building works and events that go in this location it won't be possible to always drive to our building's car park with a one way system.

I also note that no notice has been provided to our building, and instead a public sign put up that I had not seen until a resident brought it to my attention.

[REDACTED]

Ref PRP/PD/TMO1314-003

6 June 2013

Proposed One-Way system southbound in Shand Street SE1

6 June 2013

My summary comments below :

- overall my comment is –the current flows seem to work reasonably well – so “if it aint broke, then don't fix it” – making it one-way would be inconvenient for me and my family – as we already have St Thomas St closed for 15 years (Shard + Station)
- I do understand the comments that north end of Shand Street is tight for two-way - but if making it one-way, we all would prefer north-bound – and it is safer that way
- do not worry too much about the temporary disruption of cycle route 22 southbound - I make that turning south into Shand Street on my bike every working day of my life –and not once have I had to stop in the middle of Tooley street – plus I have also yet to see one other single cyclist do that same turning in the three years I have been doing it - I don't know where they do go - but its not down Shand Street and along Crucifix Lane - and I don't think that will happen whatever signs are put up
- on Tooley Street I am concerned that the two traffic bollards - together with two cycle lanes and two way traffic - may then cause more problems than it solves
- the one thing on Shand Street that does need changing is the inconsiderate positioning of the railings outside the Southwark offices - where the council has commandeered maximum space for itself and pushed pedestrians into the road (thereby also cutting off access to all arches so they can only be used by themselves, and also cutting off what was previously a nice long pedestrian walkway along the north side of the arches) - if anything needs to change on Shand St, then that is it

So overall - my apologies - but yes I do object at this time, until I understand the logic a bit better. Mostly this seems an awful waste of public money unless we are 100% sure that it is the right solution - that and it also fits with the longer term traffic flows post 2019 when the congestion all ends (sort of). If somebody could explain to me what the logic of this change is – then I would of course be happy to listen.

With best regards

██████████  
██████████████████  
██████████████████

PS Have just spoken to owner of ██████████s – he agrees that the proposed one-way flow is the wrong way – if it is to avoid lorries driving in to his restaurant

PS Spoke to manager of Lupa pizza last night – she also says delivering from her place to Shad Thames (majority of their customers) via motorcyles at night – then becomes difficult

PS Will speak to new owner of ██████Crucifix Lane – opposite Shand St south end – she would likely not be keen on lorries and headlights sweeping across her front room too often

**Coburn, Leah**

*OBJECTION 4*

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 31 May 2013 11:10  
**To:** traffic orders  
**Subject:** Shand St changes feedback - PRP/PD/TMO1314-003

Dear Sir or Madam.

I wanted to get in touch about the proposed introduction of one-way traffic-ing on Shand St, SE1 (ref PRP/PD/TMO1314-003)

A few concerns I'd like to raise:

- Its incredibly hard already to get to the block with various event road closures in the centre of town. When events such as the London Marathon close Tooley Street, what provision will be made for us to get to our home since we cannot get in from the north or south?
- Lion Court is (I believe) the only residential block in the street. We have not been consulted at all about the change. In fact the public notification on the street is only up south of our building, not north where the majority of residences will obviously go. Since the impact will be on us reaching our home this seems an unfair equation.
- These restrictions mean (as I understand it) there is only one way (for the last 20m) to get to our homes. Given the frequent traffic problems, closures and accidents in London, this means there are times when we will simply not be able to get to our homes, and there is no alternative route to Tooley Street.
- Since we can only enter our homes from the North Tooley St side, this adds to the heavy traffic on Tooley Street.
- The closure of Magdalan Street also restricts access to our home further – again has any consultation been done for this with the properties on that road?

Will there be any feedback regarding these issues?

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 03 June 2013 21:09  
To: traffic orders  
Subject: Shand Street one-way working

Dear Sir/Madam

I refer to the notice on the above dated 16th May. I am a resident [REDACTED] Shand Street.

Whilst I recognise some of the issues that are given in support of the proposed changes, I object to the proposal as currently drawn as regards exiting Magdalen St into Shand St. The full extent of the current proposals is excessive and reduced proposals would still address the most serious risk issues.

As proposed, any traffic arriving from the south-east will need to travel around Bermondsey St, up right into Tooley St and then again across the traffic flow to turn right into Shand St. This creates extra traffic circulating in order for residents only to gain local access to our properties and an accident risk of more traffic crossing the east-west flow on Tooley St. The most serious and majority of current problems would still be resolved and this additional risk, and additional flow reduced, by allowing traffic to access and turn right (only) into Shand St from Magdalen St.

I should be grateful if you would consider adjusting this proposal to permit this particular traffic flow to continue.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

w [REDACTED]

Please consider the environment. Do you really need to print this email?

-----  
[REDACTED] The contents of this email are confidential to the ordinary user of the email address to which it is addressed. No-one else may place any reliance upon it, or copy or forward all or any of it in any form. If you receive this email in error, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]